



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/996,154	11/28/2001	Phillip Andrew Porras	SRI/4374-2	2117
52197	7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
		SON & SHERIDAN, LLP WRI		
SRI INTERN 595 SHREWS	SBURY AVENUE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 100			2134	
SHREWSBU	RY, NJ 07702		DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/200	<b>5</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/996,154	PORRAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Norman M. Wright	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wil Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>22 April 2005</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-21 and 25-34</u> is/are re	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-21 and 25-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 22-24</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action t	for a list of the certified copies not r	NORMAN M. WRI PRIMARY EXAM	IGHT INER				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03.</li> </ol>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/996,154

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. Informal drawings have been submitted.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 1-2, 6, 25, 33-34, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Bernhard et al., 6,275,942, hereinafter '942.
- 4. As per claims 1-2, 6, 25, 33-34, '942 teach a server, hosting an intrusion detection process, which is integrated into the first/ server process (abs., col. 4, lines 45 et seq.). It has a global application interface, passing request to the server, and a web server (col. 2, lines 50-67 et seq., and col. 5, lines 10 et seq., and col. 6, lines 38 et

Application/Control Number: 09/996,154

Art Unit: 2134

seq.). The system has a processor and memory configured to perform intrusion detection, and a computer program product (module, ARM figs. 1-2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3-5, 7,12-21, 26-32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernhard et al. '942, as applied to claims 1-2, and 6, and further in view of Smaha et al, U.S. Pat. No. 5,557,742, hereinafter '742.

As per claims 3-5, 8-9, 12-18, 26-27, 31-32, '942 not explicitly taught is passing data to the IDS, packing a subset/extraction for analysis, a second server/IDS unit, and analyzing the subset, he does however teach the web server having IDS capability within and outside (fig. 1, [120]). '742 teach the claimed feature of passing data to the IDS, packing a subset/extraction for analysis, and analyzing the subset (abs., figs. 1-5B, summary, and col. 4, lines40 et seq., and clms. 2-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to augment the invention of '942 with the features of the misuse intrusion detection invention of '742. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification because, '942 teaches that the use of the intrusion detection engine provides the necessary features carrying out his invention ('942 at col. 2, lines 1-6 et seq.).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/996,154

Art Unit: 2134

7. As per claims 7, 19-21, 28-30, neither '942 nor '742 teaches the use of emerald format/expert analysis, an apache web server. The examiner takes official notice of both the motive and modification necessary to utilize the combined invention on such types of servers, and to analyze or format the data as recited. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention that, the invention of '942 and '742 could be utilized to display, analyze and carry out the process in a particular server. The process could easily be carried out with the proper formatting of the configuration of an intrusion detection system. Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a desire to utilize these inventions in whatever, field of use/ environment they desired, because of the portability of the invention in various computer environments ('942 at col. 2, lines 65 et seq., and '742 at col. 14, lines 15 et seq.).

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 10-11 and 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,154

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norman M. Wright whose telephone number is (571) 272-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, from 8AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norman M. Wright Primary Examiner Art Unit 2134